Previous references.

1906-7
Sep Rev

File No. 103

Serial Nos. 182

Later references.

171-08

(P-12)

(R. Dec 08 Sch 1-2 S.R.A. 86-05
Ex 1-20 (94, 123, 123a, 150, 192)
LR 93-11 (1-4, 7-10, 11)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B: for March 07

SUBJECT.

Inamdars' claim to the Loddy revenue of the Mayanagudde Taraka Village, Kanikdanialli

1) From the Circle Comm² No. 3083 of 25.2.06 Submits the record of enquiry relating to the claim to Loddy revenue and states that the claim is admissible

2) To Revenue Comm² & Compliments No 72.7291-29 28.3.06)
Recognises the claim

G. R. S. 58

G. R. S. 11]

1906.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(J. I. C.)

NOTES.

File No. 603.06

FILE HEADING.

Iravadeen claim to the toddy revenue of the Kempanenattu Paralu village, Kunnakkalli Taluk.

From The Sessions Court

No. 3083 Dated 25/4/07 Received 1/5/07.

Diary No. 3692 Enclos. 1 file Spare Copies. Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits the record of enquiry & states that the investigating officer has allowed the claim, that the Dy. Comr has upheld the decision & that he himself concurs with them.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The village has only a grove but no toddy shop. One seems to have existed till 1885. The Iravadeen wants the shop to be re-opened & none of the officers has taken notice of it

Notes—First sheet.

MGCPB—30,000—17-2-05.

Serial No.

need the reason is quite apparent. It is not known if any & what action was taken by the Munsif when the Shop was closed in 1885. Perhaps no action was taken. This point may therefore be left alone.

In the Diary Reply of Enquiry this village is said to belong to Mr the late Mr B. K. Venkata Varadiengar & is treated as personal. But in the records it is said to belong to the Tulsithottam Temple (Sri Prasanna Krishnaswami) managed by the late Mr B. Krishnaiengar's family members. But this is not pertinent to the question now dealt with. It is however asserted that this is being dealt with in Para I.

X

This village was first settled by Col. Robert Cole on the 3rd Septr 1878 when

GPB—10,000—18-1-07

Serial No.

has by unmistakeable terms connected to the diminution the "Ekkani" revenue which includes "lodzjem" the investigating officer, Mr Anandarao Birai, has confirmed this decision in accordance with cl. 3 of rule 18 contained in G.O. no 2074-83 - R.T. 166-92 Dy 2(8/01. He is also satisfied with the proof of enjoyment produced by the present members of the temple. (vide para 10 of G.O. no. 638-47 - R.T. 166-92 Dy 28/5/03). Both the Dy Comrs Mr Devendrappa and the Extra Comr have upheld the Investigating Officers findings and this may be approved.

As the village has only one grove the payment is regulated by rules 2, 5 & 13 the amount recommended by the Investigating Officer seems to require further scrutiny as the accounts adduced to

NOTE—Contn sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

8A File No. 103 of 1906

Serial No.

Rec Rev for 95-96 admitted Claim been remitted - 15

to the Increase from time to time has not been deducted & the details for the Enhancement Increase made under rules 13 were not given. This may be pointed out to the Ex. Comrs & allowed to be done when payment is actually made upon refund bills. as has been done hitherto.

Cyo. no 2330-6-8A 146-05-7 & 8/11 for requiring the transmission of the record in these claims Hdqrs. the Rec. Comrs does not seem to affect to the Case as it was enquired into & reported upon to the Ex Comrs prior to the issue of the above order & as it has been enquired into by a Rec. and Comrs. Ruled with a draft for approval or otherwise to Ist. Committee

15
22-3-07.

Bx 8A

2390
23-3-1907

Draft approved
23 Mar

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—10,000—18-1-07

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

S&R File No. 63 of 1906

Serial No. 2

To the Excise Commissioner and Comptroller 9.Aug [illegible] No. 7 291-2, & 28.3.07.

States that Govt are pleased to allow the claim in S. No 1. and regret no further report can be done

Ranibennur Taluk

Kharalu village

Finding

I. i Original sannad has not been produced.

ii The right of the Inamdar to Toddy Revenue is however recognised in the Inam Settlement Register.

iii The village was given over by Phawdar Shimappa Rajeurs for the temple of Sri Prasanna Krishnaswamy, and that the proceeds of the village are being utilised for the temple ever since. The manager of the temple and 3 others have been examined and they state that they have been enjoying the right of toddy revenue since the village came into their possession. The manager has filed the village Muttwali patties to prove the 12 years' enjoyment prior to 1892-93. These patties together with the remark in the Inam Settlement Register clearly show that the Inamdar is entitled to Toddy Revenue.

IV There was once a shop, but it was abolished in the year Dharana (84-85) and there are now only Sendy trees

V The Inam is entitled to Toddy Revenue and its case falls under paras 2, 13 & 15 of Govt Rep. no. 2074-83 – R.T. 166-92 & 2nd August 1901, there being no shop in the village but only trees.

II The Inam is entitled to the foll: amounts:-

A. From 1892-93 down to 1896-97.

Grove Rent 1892-93 to 94-95 " — " —

95-96 60 — " —

96-97 " — " —

Total 60 — " —

B. 1897-98 ——— " — " —

C. 1898-99 to 1904-05 — Lump sum

grant at Rs 79-8-10 amounts to Rs 556-13-10.

Total of A.B.C is Rs 616-13-10.

Hence the total amount the Inam is entitled & receive is Rs 616-13-10.

III The grounds of decision are:-

(1) Excise Registers and Accounts

(2) The omission of the right in the Inam Settlement Register

I have no reason to disagree with the finding of the A.S.C. cont.

Sd. D. Devarajulu 21.6

Sd. Ananda Rao Sahib

A.S.C. cont. Chingleput Range.

Calendar of a claim to Toddy Revenue enquired into by the Assistant Commissioner, Closepet Range - Bangalore District

Name of Taluk	Name of Hobli	Name of Sarvamanya Jodi, Inam or Kayampatta village	Name of Inamdar, Poddar &c	Documents relied upon	Witnesses examined	Finding	Amount			Grounds of Decision
Kankanhalli	Somanahalli	Kharalu	Mr B.G. Rekhavendra, manager of Sri Ravanna Krishnaswamy temple Bangalore City	Extract from Inam Settlement Register Village Muttuvadi Patties	4	The village was given over by Thimappa Raje the (Poddar) for the temple of Sri Prasanna Krishna at Bangalore. From the commencement the Inamdar and after its grant to the temple the manager of the temple had been enjoying the Toddy Revenue and it is admitted by the Inam depart. the claim is therefore allowed	616	13	10	Excise Accounts and Registers. The Inam rights in the Inam Settlement Register

Office of the Asst Comr
Closepet Range

Dated, Closepet 30th May 1906

Sd. Ananda Rau Sirsi
Assistant Commissioner
Closepet Range

Sch 103.06 & 3652

No. 3083 OFFICE OF THE EXCISE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

DATED BANGALORE, 25th February 1907.

From

P. N. Purnaiya Esquire B. A.,

Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

K. S. Chandrasekhara Iyer Esquire B. A. B. L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In submitting herewith the records of enquiry relating to the Inamdar's claim to the Toddy Revenue of the Kayamgutta village of Taralu in the Kankanhally Taluk, Bangalore District, I have the honor to state that the investigating Officer has decided that the Inamdar is entitled to the Toddy Revenue of the village on the ground that the right has been conceded at the Inam settlement held in 1882, and is borne out by proofs of enjoyment for 12 years prior to 1892-3.

2. The Deputy Commissioner has upheld the decision and I concur.

3. There is no toddy shop but there is a grove in the village and the case, therefore, falls under Rules 2,5 and 13 of the Government Order No. 2076-84, R.F. 166-93 dated 2nd August 1901.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

P. N. Purnaiya

Excise Commissioner, in Mysore

G.R.S. 28

2)

File No. 103-06

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replid to 3652

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

, *the* 28-3-1907

Date of issue

Signed by

READ.— Letter no. 30833 25th. Feb. 1907. from the Excise Comr. in Mysore submitting the record of enquiry relating to the succession claim to the toddy revenue of the Kaygeni cultivation Haralu village, Kankanhalli Taluk, Bangalore District.

ORDER THEREON.— Order thereon no H 7291-2 & 28-3-07

over

SUBJECT

The investigation (Ofcn Mr Anandalal Sisir) is of opinion that the Dr. is entitled to the Lodi Excise Revenue of the village named above on the ground that the right has been recognised in the ~~been exercised under~~ Main Settlement Register ~~of the Last Settlement~~ of ~~is to be~~ proved by enjoyment. The Dy Comr has upheld the finding of the Excise Comr connected with this. Govt are therefore pleased to allow the claim

As the village has only a daily grove but no Lodi shed the payment of revenue should be regulated by rules 2, 5 & 13 Contained in Govt. Nos 2074-83 — R.T. (66-92) dy 2/8/01, and H. 1650-95 R 166-92

W

Tho the original Summons were not produced

and that the Lodi has been in enjoyment of the same for 12 years preceding 1892-93

Both the Dy and the Excise Commrs support this finding —

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

viz Rs 616-13-6 for Mayavaram 92-93 to 04-05

The accounts records by the Investigating Officer requires verification. As the accounts addressed to the Executive from time to time have not been detailed & the details of calculation for the accounts amended under rule 13 as revised by G.O. No 2L 1650-9 dt 6/9/04 Rt 166-92 are not given. A further Report is requested at an early date.

Copy of the Investigating Officer's memo. together with the Explanations & the Calendar should be retained in the file.

Tsec 22/3.

22.3.7

To The Revenue Commissioner for record

The Commissioner Mg.

Tsec 22/3/07.